

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 1**  
(Electronic Format)

---

**I. GENERAL INFORMATION**District: Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control DistrictRule No(s): 111 Date adopted/Amended/Rescinded: Rescinded November 10, 2016Rule Title(s): Equipment BreakdownDate Submitted to ARB: Equipment BreakdownIf an Amended Rule, Date Last Amended (or Adopted): Last Amended May 2, 1996Is the Rule Intended to be Sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If No, do not complete remainder of form)District Contact: Jeremiah Cravens Phone Number: (661) 862-5250 E-mail Address: Cravensj@co.kern.ca.usNarrative Summary of New Rule or Rule Changes: ☐ New Rule ☒ Amended Rule

By request of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District is requesting Rule 111 (Breakdown) be rescinded from the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Rule 111 was approved into the SIP October 24, 1980 (45 FR 70449). Rule 111, last amended May 2, 1996, will continue to remain in the District rule book and be enforced locally..

Pollutant(s) Regulated by the Rule (Check): ☒ ROG ☐ (NOx) ☐ SO2 ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ (CO) ☐ PM ☐ TAC (name): \_\_\_\_\_**II. EFFECT ON EMISSIONS**

*Complete this section ONLY for rules that, when implemented, will result in quantifiable changes in emissions. Attach reference(s) for emission factor(s) and other information. Attach calculation sheet showing how the emission information provided below was determined.*

Net Effect on Emissions: ☐ Increase ☐ Decrease ☒ N/A

Emission Reduction Commitment in SIP for this Source Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Inventory Year Used to Calculate Changes in Emissions: \_\_\_\_\_ Area Affected: \_\_\_\_\_

Future Year Control Profile Estimate (Provide information on as many years as possible):  
\_\_\_\_\_

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 2**  
(Electronic Format)

---

Baseline Inventory in the SIP for the Control Measure: \_\_\_\_\_

Emissions Reduction Commitment in the SIP for the Control Measure: \_\_\_\_\_

Revised Baseline Inventory (if any): \_\_\_\_\_

Revised Emission Reduction Estimate (if developed): \_\_\_\_\_

*Note that the district's input to the Rule Evaluation Form will not be used as input to the ARB's emission forecasting and planning.***III. SOURCES/ATTAINMENT STATUS**District is: ☐ Attainment ☒ Nonattainment ☐ SplitApproximate Total Number of Small (<100 TPY) Sources Affected by this Amendment: 0Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Number of Large ( $\geq$  100 TPY) Sources Controlled: 0 Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Name(s) and Location(s) (city and county) of Large ( $\geq$  100 TPY) Sources Controlled by Rule *(Attach additional sheets as necessary):* \_\_\_\_\_**IV. EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGY**Does the Rule Include Emission Limits that are Continuous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, Those Limits are in Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_ of the Rule.

Other Methods in the Rule for Achieving Emission Reductions are: N/A**V. OTHER REQUIREMENTS**

The Rule Contains:

Emission Limits in Section(s): N/A Work Practice Standards in Section(s): IRecordkeeping Requirements in Section(s): V Reporting Requirements in Section(s): I, III, IV & V

**APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 3**  
(Electronic Format)

---

**VI. IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY PLAN**

☐ No Impact      ☐ Impacts RFP      ☒ Impacts attainment

Discussion: The amended rule is expected to result in VOC emission reductions and help the District achieve state and federal RFP requirements and further progress toward attainment of ozone standards.